www.Breaking News English.com

Ready-to-Use English Lessons by Sean Banville

"1,000 IDEAS & ACTIVITIES FOR LANGUAGE TEACHERS"

www.breakingnewsenglish.com/book.html

Thousands more free lessons from Sean's other websites

www.freeeslmaterials.com/sean_banville_lessons.html

Level 2

Beef production damages the environment

24th July, 2014

http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

Contents

The Reading 2

Matching 3

Listening Gap Fill 4

No Spaces 5

Discussion 6

Writing 7

Please try Levels 0 and 1 (easier) and the 26-page Level 3 (harder).

Twitter



twitter.com/SeanBanville

Facebook



www.facebook.com/pages/BreakingNewsEnglish/155625444452176

Google +



https://plus.google.com/+SeanBanville

THE READING

From http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

A new study says beef production damages the environment about ten times more than pork, lamb, or chicken production. Scientists said cows need 28 times more land and 11 times more water than pigs, sheep or chickens. They also produce a lot of greenhouse gas after they eat. Food like potatoes, wheat, and rice need 160 times less land and produce 11 times less greenhouse gases than beef. The scientists said the farming of animals and crops is a big cause of global warming. Farming causes 15 per cent of all greenhouse gases. About half of this is from animals.

One scientist said we could reduce our carbon footprint by eating less beef. Professor Mark Sutton said cutting down on beef is better for the environment than using the car less. He also said eating less beef means we could give the wheat that cows eat to feed starving people. This sounds simple, but many governments do not want to try it. Professor Sutton said governments should think about this carefully. He said consumers also needed to think more about what they eat. He said that eating less meat, especially less beef, is good for the environment.

Sources: http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/science-environment-28409704

 $http://\underline{www}. \textbf{the guardian}. com/environment/2014/jul/21/giving-up-beef-reduce-carbon-footprint-like the composition of th$

more-than-cars

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/07/21/beef-environmental-impact_n_5599370.html

MATCHING

From http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

PARAGRAPH ONE:

1. pork, lamb, or chicken and crops a.

2 cows need 28 times b. gases

3. greenhouse and rice c.

4. potatoes, wheat, d. is from animals

5. the farming of animals of global warming e.

6. f. a big cause more land

7. greenhouse production g.

8. About half of this h. gas

PARAGRAPH TWO:

the wheat that

4.

6.

1. reduce our carbon carefully a.

2 eating less b. the environment

3. better for c. what they eat

d.

e.

f.

beef

5. feed starving want to try it

governments do not footprint

7. think about this g. people

8. think more about h. cows eat

LISTEN AND FILL IN THE GAPS

From http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

A new study says beef production (1) about
ten times more than pork, lamb, or chicken production. Scientists
said cows (2) land and 11 times more
water than pigs, sheep or chickens. They
(3) of greenhouse gas after they eat. Food
like (4) need 160 times less land and
produce 11 times less greenhouse gases than beef. The scientists
(5) animals and crops is a big cause
(6) Farming causes 15 per cent of all
greenhouse gases. About half of this is from animals.
One scientist said we could reduce (7) by
eating less beef. Professor Mark Sutton (8)
beef is better for the environment than using the car less. He also
said eating (9) could give the wheat that
cows eat to feed starving people. This sounds simple, but many
governments (10) it. Professor Sutton said
governments should think about this carefully. He said consumers
also (11) about what they eat. He said that
eating less meat, (12), is good for the

PUT A SLASH (/)WHERE THE SPACES ARE

From http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

Anewstudysaysbeefproductiondamagestheenvironmentaboutte ntimesmorethanpork, lamb, or chicken production. Scientists saidc owsneed28timesmorelandand11timesmorewaterthanpigs,shee porchickens. They also produce a lot of green house gas after they eat. Foodlikepotatoes, wheat, and riceneed 160 times less land and produ ce11timeslessgreenhousegasesthanbeef.Thescientistssaidthefa rmingofanimalsandcropsisabigcauseofglobalwarming.Farmingc auses15percentofallgreenhousegases.Abouthalfofthisisfromani mals.Onescientistsaidwecouldreduceourcarbonfootprintbyeatin glessbeef.ProfessorMarkSuttonsaidcuttingdownonbeefisbetterfo rtheenvironmentthanusingthecarless. Healsosaideatinglessbeef meanswecouldgivethewheatthatcowseattofeedstarvingpeople.T hissoundssimple, but many governments do not want to tryit. Profes sorSuttonsaidgovernmentsshouldthinkaboutthiscarefully. Hesai dconsumersalsoneededtothinkmoreaboutwhattheyeat. Hesaidth ateatinglessmeat, especially less beef, is good for the environment.

Student A: Do not show these to your speaking partner(s). a) b) c) d) e) f) g) h) Beef production damages the environment - 24th July, 2014 More free lessons at www.BreakingNewsEnglish.com WRITE QUESTIONS & ASK YOUR PARTNER(S) Student B: Do not show these to your speaking partner(s). a) b) c) d) e) f)

WRITE QUESTIONS & ASK YOUR PARTNER(S)

g)

h)

WRITING

From http://www.breakingnewsenglish.com/1407/140724-beef-production-2.html

Write about beef for 10 minutes. Comment on your partner's paper.								